

WASHINGTON, DC 20037

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE CONFIRMATION NO. 10/070,556 06/21/2002 Kouji Sato Q68808 7204 23373 03/22/2004 **EXAMINER** SUGHRUE MION, PLLC HABTE, KAHSAY 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER SUITE 800

1624

DATE MAILED: 03/22/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicat	tion No.	Applicant(s)	
		556	SATO ET AL.	
Office Action Summar	Examine	er	Art Unit	
· 		Habte, Ph. D.	1624	
The MAILING DATE of this com Period for Reply	munication appears on th	he cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addres	SS
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIC THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMM  - Extensions of time may be available under the prov after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this  - If the period for reply specified above is less than the - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maxim - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for Any reply received by the Office later than three moderned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704	MUNICATION. isions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ecommunication. iirty (30) days, a reply within the stum statutory period will apply and reply will, by statute, cause the aponths after the mailing date of this control.	event, however, may a reply be time atutory minimum of thirty (30) days will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this commu D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	uniçation.
Status				
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s</li> <li>This action is FINAL.</li> <li>Since this application is in condiction closed in accordance with the p</li> </ol>	2b)☐ This action is tion for allowance excep	non-final. ot for formal matters, pro		erits is
Disposition of Claims				
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-98</u> is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>17-97</u> 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>98</u> is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to re	is/are withdrawn from co			
Application Papers				•
9) The specification is objected to be 10) The drawing(s) filed on is.  Applicant may not request that any Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is object.	/are: a) ☐ accepted or book objection to the drawing(s) adding the correction is requ	be held in abeyance. See ired if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a cl a) All b) Some * c) None 1. Certified copies of the pric 2. Certified copies of the pric 3. Copies of the certified copies of the supplication from the Interest * See the attached detailed Office a	of: ority documents have be ority documents have be pies of the priority docum national Bureau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in Applicati nents have been receive ule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Sta	ge
Attachment(s)		_		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revi		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate	0)
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-14 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	49 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-15)	۷)

Art Unit: 1624

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. Claims 1-98 are pending. Claims 17-97 are withdrawn from prosecution.
- 2. It is required that applicants delete claims 17-97 in response to this Office Action.

## Response to Amendment

3. Applicant's amendment filed 2/26/2004 in response to the previous Office Action (Paper No. 6) is acknowledged. Rejection of claims 1-16 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph (Paper No. 6, paragraph 4) has been maintained.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 1 and claims dependent thereon are rejected because the phrase in Method 1 (page 13) "a liquid culture medium of microorganism, cells of this microorganism or processed cells of this microorganism" is not indefinite. Which liquid culture medium of microorganism, or cells of this microorganism are covered and which are not? Note that the

Art Unit: 1624

phrase "an enzyme capable of asymmetrically hydrolyzing an ester" is acceptable, since it covers only those enzymes that are capable of asymmetrically hydrolyzing an ester. The phrase "a liquid culture medium of a microorganism, cells of this microorganisms or processes cells of this microorganism" is not acceptable, because the limitation "capable of asymmetrically hydrolyzing an ester" applies only for the enzymes, but not for "liquid culture medium of a microorganism, cells of this microorganisms or processes cells of this microorganisms."

## Response to arguments

Applicant's argument filed 02/26/2004 has been fully considered but it is not persuasive.

Applicants argue that the scope of the subject matter embraced by the claim is clear and that it comply with the second paragraph of § 112. The examiner disagrees with applicants. In a process of making chemical compounds, conditions such as solvents, temperature, reactants, pressure, catalysts etc. are essential for the reaction. The phrase "a liquid culture medium of microorganism, cells of this microorganism or processed cells of this microorganism" remains indefinite, since no one for sure knows the metes and bounds of the claim.

In regard to the argument "In the present case, the scope of the claimed subject matter is clear on its face. The plain meaning of the terms in Claim 1 requires that....liquid culture medium of a microorganism, cells of their

Art Unit: 1624

microorganism or processes cells of microorganism that is capable of asymmetrically hydrolyzing an ester be included within the scope of the present claims." The examiner disagrees with applicant's argument. Unlike the enzymes, the liquid culture medium of a microorganism, cells of this microorganism or processes cells of this microorganism are not limited to those that are capable of asymmetrically hydrolyzing an ester. It covers any liquid culture medium of a microorganism, cells of this microorganism or processes cells of this microorganism, regardless of their capability (asymmetrically hydrolyzing an ester). Thus, the claim remains indefinite. It is recommended that applicants limit the "liquid culture medium of a microorganism, cells of their microorganism or processes cells of microorganism" to those that are capable of asymmetrically hydrolyzing an ester. This can be done by inserting the phrase "capable of asymmetrically hydrolyzing an ester" after the phrase "a liquid culture medium of a microorganism, cells of their microorganism or processes cells of microorganism."

The language of claim 98 is acceptable.

# **Objection**

5. Claim 98 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 1624

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

#### Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kahsay Habte, Ph. D. whose telephone number is (571) 272-0667. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9.00AM- 5:30PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mukund Shah can be reached on (571) 272-0674. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-4556.

Art Unit: 1624

703-308-1235.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is Mark Berd

Examiner 1 Art Unit 1624

ΚH March 18, 2004 Mark L. Berch **Primary Examiner** 

Art Unit 1624